UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,278	04/04/2001	Robert S. Beach	SJO919990038US2	6618
••	7590 07/25/200 NAL BUSINESS MAC	THINES CORPORATION	EXAM	INER
650 Harry Road, L2PA/J2C			EVANS, JEFFERSON A	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW SAN JOSE, CA 95120-6099		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Summany	09/827,278	BEACH, ROBERT S.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jefferson Evans	2627		
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation or Reply 	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
WHI0 - Exte afte - If N0 - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) <u></u> ☐	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allow	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	on.			
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
• —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	'			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		•		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage		
*	application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received		
·		st of the certified copies flot	icocived.		
Attachme					
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 09/827,278 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 to 19, drawn to a magnetic head, classified in class 360, subclass 324.
- II. Claims 20 to 24, drawn to a method of manufacturing a magnetic transducer, classified in class 29, subclass 603.07.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: the Inventions of Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as a head where the antiferromagnetic layer is not limited to being between the first and second layers.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferson Evans whose telephone number is 571-272-7574. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Thi Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2627

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000...

JAF

July 22, 2007

Jefferson Evans Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

JEFFERSON EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER